

MARQUIS AURBACH

10001 Park Run Drive  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145  
(702) 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816

**Marquis Aurbach**

Craig R. Anderson, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 6882

Jackie V. Nichols, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 14246

10001 Park Run Drive

Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

Telephone: (702) 382-0711

Facsimile: (702) 382-5816

canderson@maclaw.com

jnichols@maclaw.com

Attorneys for Defendants Las Vegas Metropolitan  
Police Department, Sheriff Joseph Lombardo, Sgt.  
Alfredo Quintero, and Officer Praveen Raj

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

MICHAEL ROGERS, an individual; NIKITA  
WRIGHT, an individual,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE  
DEPARTMENT; JOSEPH LOMBARDO, in  
his official capacity; ALFREDO  
QUINTERO, individually; PRAVEEN RAJ,  
individually; PARKER SMITH, individually;  
TYLER GEORGI, individually; JUSTIN  
JONSSON, individually; JAMES KILBER,  
individually DOE OFFICERS V - VI,  
individually,

Defendants.

Case Number:  
2:22-cv-00867-CDS-DJA

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO  
EXTEND DISCOVERY PLAN AND  
SCHEDULING ORDER DEADLINES**

**(THIRD REQUEST)**

Plaintiffs Michael Rogers and Nikita Wright ("Plaintiffs"), by and through their  
counsel of record, Margaret A. McLetchie, Esq., N. Pieter O' Leary, Esq. and Leo S. Wolpert,  
Esq., of McLetchie Law, and Defendants, the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (the  
"Department" or "LVMPD"), Sheriff Joseph Lombardo ("Lombardo"), Sgt. Alfredo Quintero  
("Quintero"), and Officer Praveen Raj ("Raj"), collectively ("LVMPD Defendants"), by and  
through their counsel of record, Craig R. Anderson, Esq. and Jackie V. Nichols, Esq., of  
Marquis Aurbach, hereby stipulate and agree to extend the Discovery Plan and Scheduling

Order deadlines an additional nine (9) months. This Stipulation is being entered in good faith and not for purposes of delay (supplemented information noted in **bold-face** type).

**I. STATUS OF DISCOVERY.**

**A. PLAINTIFFS' DISCOVERY.**

1. Plaintiffs' Initial Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents Pursuant to FRCP 26.1(a)(1) dated August 22, 2022.

2. Plaintiff Michael Rogers' Request for Production of Documents to LVMPD - Set One dated December 15, 2022.

3. Plaintiff Nikita Wright's Requests for Production to LVMPD - Set One dated May 16, 2023.

**4. Michael Rogers' Responses to LVMPD's First Set of Interrogatories dated May 31, 2023.**

**5. Nikita Wright's Responses to LVMPD's First Set of Interrogatories dated May 31, 2023.**

**6. Michael Rogers' Responses to LVMPD's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents dated May 31, 2023.**

**7. Nikita Wright's Responses to LVMPD's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents dated May 31, 2023.**

**8. Plaintiffs' First Supplemental Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents Pursuant to FRCP 26.1(a)(1) dated May 31, 2023.**

**B. DEFENDANTS' DISCOVERY.**

1. LVMPD Defendants' Initial Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents Pursuant to FRCP 26.1(a)(1) dated August 19, 2022.

2. LVMPD Defendants' First Supplemental Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents Pursuant to FRCP 26.1(a)(1) dated January 17, 2023.

3. LVMPD's Responses to Plaintiff Michael Rogers' Requests for Production - Set One dated January 17, 2023.

MARQUIS AURBACH

10001 Park Run Drive  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145  
(702) 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816

1 4. LVMPD's First Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiff Nikita Wright dated April 21,  
2 2023.

3 5. LVMPD's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents to Plaintiff  
4 Nikita Wright dated April 21, 2023.

5 6. LVMPD's First Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiff Michael Rogers dated April  
6 21, 2023.

7 7. LVMPD's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents to Plaintiff  
8 Michael Rogers dated April 21, 2023.

9 8. **LVMPD's Responses to Plaintiff Nikita Wright's Requests for Production**  
10 **- Set One dated July 17, 2023.**

11 9. **LVMPD Defendants' Second Supplemental Disclosure of Witnesses and**  
12 **Documents Pursuant to FRCP 26.1(a)(1) dated July 17, 2023.**

13 **II. DISCOVERY THAT REMAINS TO BE COMPLETED.**

14 The Parties have been engaged in settlement negotiations in an effort to resolve  
15 Plaintiffs' claims. All deadlines were stayed from November 9, 2023, until January 8, 2024,  
16 to allow counsel to negotiate. (*See* ECF No. 46). Further, the Parties are also actively  
17 conducting discovery. The Parties will need additional time to propound written discovery,  
18 respond to written discovery, and conduct depositions prior to expert disclosures to avoid  
19 unnecessary additional costs related to expert disclosures.

20 **III. SPECIFIC DESCRIPTION OF WHY EXTENSION IS NECESSARY.**

21 Pursuant to Local Rule 26-3, the Parties submit that good cause exists for the extension  
22 requested. This is the second request for an extension of discovery deadlines in this matter.  
23 The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Local Rule 26-3, a stipulation to extend a deadline  
24 set forth in a discovery plan must be submitted to the Court no later than 21 days before the  
25 expiration of the subject deadline, and that a request made within 21 days must be supported  
26 by a showing of good cause. All of the deadlines the Parties are requesting be extended expire  
27 outside of the 21 day window that necessitates a showing of good cause.

28

MARQUIS AURBACH

10001 Park Run Drive  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145  
(702) 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816

1 The Parties have been diligently conducting discovery and continue to conduct  
2 discovery. The Parties are working on scheduling the depositions of named parties and  
3 witnesses. The Parties previously entered into a stay of the discovery deadlines pending  
4 settlement discussions, but to no avail. *See* ECF Nos. 44 and 46. The Parties contend an  
5 extension of discovery deadlines enables them to continue to conduct necessary discovery so  
6 that this matter is fairly resolved and give the experts the opportunity to review all discovery  
7 produced in this dispute. Finally, the Parties together request this in good faith and to further  
8 the resolution of this complicated case on the merits, and not for any purpose of delay.

9 The Parties thus respectfully request an extension of time to extend the discovery in  
10 this matter to enable to them to conduct necessary discovery in this matter and so that this  
11 matter is fairly resolved on the merits. “Good cause to extend a discovery deadline exists ‘if  
12 it cannot reasonably be met despite the diligence of the party seeking the extension.’” *Derosa*  
13 *v. Blood Sys., Inc.*, No. 2:13-cv-0137-JCM-NJK, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 108235, 2013 WL  
14 3975764, at 1 (D. Nev. Aug. 1, 2013) (*quoting Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc.*, 975  
15 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992)); *see also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 1 (providing that the Rules of Civil  
16 Procedure “should be construed, administered, and employed by the court and the parties to  
17 secure the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of every action and proceeding”). As  
18 the procedural history of this case illustrates, the Parties have been diligent in litigating this  
19 matter. The Parties are continuing to engage in written discovery and have begun coordinating  
20 the taking of depositions.

21 Additionally, counsel for the Parties in this matter are litigating several other unrelated  
22 matters against each other which are well-advanced and have competing demands, and while  
23 competing demands of litigation are merely one of many reasons for the instant request, it  
24 should be noted that the other litigation between the same counsel involving similar issues can  
25 only benefit from expanded discovery so that in other litigation, similar requests can be  
26 expedited because they may have been done at least in part in this case; in this case, it would  
27 be a matter of a universal benefit to the ends of justice and future efficiencies.

Finally, counsel for Defendants has been ill through December and January, which necessitated several medical appointments and required her to be out of the office. Additionally, counsel for Defendants is anticipated to have surgery on her knee in the near future. Counsel for Plaintiff has also been ill during December and January, including a respiratory illness, and is currently recovering from COVID. These circumstances further compound the need for an extension of the discovery deadlines.

Thus, the standards to extend all deadlines, including the expert deadlines, are satisfied here.

**IV. PROPOSED SCHEDULE FOR COMPLETING ALL REMAINING DEADLINES**

	<b>Current Deadline</b>	<b>Proposed New Deadline</b>
Amend Pleadings and Add Parties	March 24, 2023	<b>Past Due/Unchanged</b>
Initial Expert Disclosures	August 22, 2023	<b>August 9, 2024</b>
Rebuttal Expert Disclosures	September 22, 2023	<b>September 9, 2024</b>
Discovery Cut-Off	October 20, 2023	<b>October 8, 2024</b>
Dispositive Motions	November 21, 2023	<b>November 7, 2024</b>
Pretrial Order	December 22, 2023	<b>December 6, 2024</b> (If dispositive motions are filed, the deadline shall be suspended until thirty (30) days after the decision of the dispositive motions or further order of the Court.)

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

MARQUIS AURBACH

10001 Park Run Drive  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145  
(702) 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816

Based on the foregoing stipulation and proposed deadlines plan, the Parties request that the Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order deadlines be extended additional nine (9) months so that the parties may conduct additional discovery, conduct depositions and efficiently litigate the case based on the merits.

Dated this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of February, 2024.

Dated this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of February, 2024.

MCLETCHIE LAW

MARQUIS AURBACH

By: /s/ N. Pieter O' Leary  
Margaret A. McLetchie, Esq.  
Nevada Bar No. 10931  
N. Pieter O' Leary, Esq.  
Nevada Bar No. 15297  
Leo S. Wolpert, Esq.  
Nevada Bar No. 12658  
602 South 10<sup>th</sup> Street  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs Michael Rogers  
and Nikita Wright

By: /s/ Jackie V. Nichols  
Craig R. Anderson, Esq.  
Nevada Bar No. 6882  
Jackie V. Nichols, Esq.  
Nevada Bar No. 14246  
10001 Park Run Drive  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145  
Attorneys for Defendants Las Vegas  
Metropolitan Police Department,  
Sheriff Joseph Lombardo, Sgt. Alfredo  
Quintero, and Officer Praveen Raj

**ORDER**

**IT IS ORDERED** that the parties' stipulation (ECF No. 47) is **GRANTED**. The Clerk of Court is kindly directed to remove the stay designation entered under the Order at ECF No. 46.



UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DATED: 2/5/2024

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing **STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER DEADLINES (THIRD REQUEST)** with the Clerk of the Court for the United States District Court by using the court's CM/ECF system on the 2<sup>nd</sup> day of February, 2024.

☒ I further certify that all participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the CM/ECF system.

☐ I further certify that some of the participants in the case are not registered CM/ECF users. I have mailed the foregoing document by First-Class Mail, postage prepaid, or have dispatched it to a third party commercial carrier for delivery within 3 calendar days to the following non-CM/ECF participants:

N/A

/s/ Rosie Wesp  
An employee of Marquis Aurbach

**MARQUIS AURBACH**

10001 Park Run Drive  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145  
(702) 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816